

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/528,993	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MIKKONEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ganapathy Krishnan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Richar Gallagher. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Left a message for the Attorney of record requesting clarification of the terms hydrophobic polysaccharide recited in instant claim 1. As of 1/21/2009 the call was not returned. Hence, a rejection in writing as detailed in the accompanying office action is made of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ganapathy Krishnan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	
---	--